

# GENERIC VANISHING INDEX AND THE BIRATIONALITY OF THE BICANONICAL MAP OF IRREGULAR VARIETIES

MARTÍ LAHOZ

**ABSTRACT.** We prove that any smooth complex projective variety with generic vanishing index bigger or equal than 2 has birational bicanonical map. Therefore, if  $X$  is a smooth complex projective variety  $X$  with maximal Albanese dimension and non-birational bicanonical map, then the Albanese image of  $X$  is fibred by subvarieties of codimension at most 1 of an abelian subvariety of  $\text{Alb } X$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the study of smooth complex algebraic varieties, the natural maps provided by the holomorphic forms defined in the variety, have a special importance. For example, the invertible sheaf  $\omega_X$  of differential  $n$ -forms (where  $n$  is the dimension of  $X$ ) produces a map to a projective space, known as the canonical map. The multiples of this canonical sheaf  $\omega_X^{\otimes m}$  produce in this way the pluricanonical maps.

$$\varphi_m : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N = \mathbb{P}(H^0(X, \omega_X^{\otimes m})^\vee).$$

When  $\varphi_m$  gives a birational equivalence between  $X$  and its image, we will simply say that  $\varphi_m$  is birational. We say that  $X$  is of general type if for some  $m > 0$  the rational map  $\varphi_m$  is birational.

For example, the curves of general type are those of genus  $g \geq 2$ . The tricanonical map  $\varphi_3$  is always birational for such curves and the bicanonical  $\varphi_2$  is also birational once that  $g \geq 3$ . Moreover, the canonical map is birational as soon as the curve is non-hyperelliptic.

For surfaces, Bombieri [Bo] have given sharp numerical conditions for the birationality of  $\varphi_m$  for  $m \geq 3$ . The bicanonical map has revealed to be more complicated and has studied by many algebraic geometers. In fact, the surfaces with irregularity  $q(S) \leq 1$  and  $\chi(S, \omega_S) = 1$  are not completely understood and there is no classification about which ones have birational  $\varphi_2$ . For a modern review of the state of the art in the surface case, we refer to [BCP, Thm. 8].

For higher dimensions not many results are known in general. Nevertheless, the example of the bicanonical map on surfaces shows that for small irregularity  $q(X) = h^0(X, \Omega_X^1)$ , the classification becomes more difficult. For complex varieties, recall that the differential 1-forms give rise to the Albanese map

$$\text{alb} : X \rightarrow \text{Alb } X = H^0(X, \Omega_X^1)^\vee / H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}).$$

from  $X$  to an abelian variety of dimension  $q(X) = h^0(X, \Omega_X^1)$ . We say that  $X$  is *irregular* if, and only if,  $\text{Alb } X$  is not trivial, i.e.  $q(X) > 0$ . And we say that  $X$  is of *maximal Albanese dimension* (*m.A.d*) if, and only if, the Albanese map  $\text{alb} : X \rightarrow \text{Alb } X$  is generically finite onto its image.

It turns out that some properties of m.A.d varieties seem to behave independently of the dimension and, indeed, Chen-Hacon showed that this is the case for their pluricanonical maps.

**Theorem** (Chen-Hacon. [CH2]).

- (a)  $X$  m.A.d and  $\chi(\omega_X) > 0 \Rightarrow X$  is of general type, furthermore,  $\varphi_3$  is birational.
- (b)  $X$  m.A.d  $\Rightarrow \varphi_6$  is the stable pluricanonical map.

---

The author has been partially supported by the Proyecto de Investigación MTM2009-14163-C02-01. This paper was revised while the author was supported by the SFB/TR 45 ‘Periods, Moduli Spaces and Arithmetic of Algebraic Varieties’.

For  $\varphi_2$ , we cannot expect to use  $\chi(\omega_X)$  to control directly its birationality. For example, if  $C$  is a curve of genus 2, then the bicanonical map of the product  $C \times Y$  is never birational. In fact, it is clear that any variety that admits a fibration whose general fibre has non-birational  $\varphi_2$  will have a non-birational bicanonical map. This should be considered, at least at first glance, as the standard case for higher dimensional varieties.

The following theorem provides geometric constraints for the non-birationality of the bicanonical map (see Theorem 5.2).

**Theorem A.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective complex variety of maximal Albanese dimension such that the bicanonical map is not birational. Then, the Albanese image of  $X$  is fibred by subvarieties of codimension at most 1 of an abelian subvariety of  $\text{Alb } X$ . The base of the fibration is also of maximal Albanese dimension.*

That is,  $X$  admits a fibration onto a normal projective variety  $Y$  with  $0 \leq \dim Y < \dim X$ , such that any smooth model  $\tilde{Y}$  of  $Y$  is of maximal Albanese dimension and

$$q(X) - \dim X \leq q(\tilde{Y}) - \dim Y + 1.$$

Hence, when  $q(X) > \dim X + 1$  implies the existence of an actual fibration, i.e.  $\dim Y > 0$ , whose general fibre is mapped generically finite through the Albanese map of  $X$  either onto a fixed abelian subvariety of  $\text{Alb } X$ , or onto a divisor of this fixed abelian subvariety. When  $\dim Y = 0$  the theorem simply says that the image of  $X$  in  $\text{Alb } X$  has codimension at most 1.

In particular, when  $X$  does not admit any fibration and  $q(X) > \dim X$ , there is only one possible case, i.e.  $X$  is birationally equivalent to a theta-divisor of an indecomposable principally polarized abelian variety (see [BLNP, Thm. A]). When  $X$  does not admit any fibration and  $q(X) = \dim X$ , there is only one known case of variety of general type and non-birational bicanonical map: a double cover of a principally polarized abelian variety  $(A, \Theta)$  branched along a reduced divisor  $B \in |2\Theta|$ . Is this the only case? The answer is affirmative in the case of surfaces due to Ciliberto-Mendes Lopes [CM, Thm 1.1].

To deduce Theorem A it is useful to consider the generic vanishing index introduced by Pareschi-Popa in [PP3, Def. 3.1]

$$\text{gv}(\omega_X) = \min_{i \geq 0} \{ \text{codim}_{\text{Pic}^0 X} V^i(\omega_X) - i \},$$

where  $V^i(\omega_X) = \{ \alpha \in \text{Pic}^0 X \mid h^i(X, \omega_X \otimes \alpha) > 0 \}$ . As a consequence of Generic Vanishing Theorem of Green-Lazarsfeld [GL1, Thm. 1], we have that for any irregular variety  $1 - \dim X \leq \text{gv}(\omega_X) \leq q(X) - \dim X$ .

Moreover, the negative values of  $\text{gv}(\omega_X)$  can be interpreted in terms of the dimension of the generic fibre of the Albanese map (see Theorem 3.7) and  $X$  is a m.A.d variety if, and only if,  $\text{gv}(\omega_X) \geq 0$ . Due to the work of Pareschi-Popa [PP3] we can interpret the positive values of  $\text{gv}(\omega_X)$  in terms of the local properties of the Fourier-Mukai transform of the structural sheaf (see Theorem 3.3). They have also proved that the positive values of  $\text{gv}(\omega_X)$  give a lower bound for the Euler characteristic  $\chi(\omega_X)$  (see Theorem 3.4).

Using the generic vanishing index we have the following more synthetic result.

**Theorem B.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective complex variety such that  $\text{gv}(\omega_X) \geq 2$ . Then, the rational map associated to  $\omega_X^2 \otimes \alpha$  is birational onto its image for every  $\alpha \in \text{Pic}^0 X$ .*

Theorem A is deduced from this result by an argument of Pareschi-Popa. On the other hand, this result (see Theorem 5.1) is proved using a birationality criterion (see Lemma 4.2) that is a slight modification of [BLNP, Thm. 4.13].

For curves,  $\text{gv}(\omega_C) \geq 2$  is equivalent to  $g(C) \geq 3$ . For surfaces,  $\text{gv}(\omega_S) \geq 2$  is equivalent to suppose that  $q(S) \geq 4$  and does not admit an irregular fibration to a curve of genus  $\leq q(S) - 3$  (see Example 5.3).

**Acknowledgments.** This is part of my Ph.D. thesis. I would like to thank my advisors, M.A. Barja and J.C. Naranjo, for their valuable suggestions. I am also grateful to G. Pareschi for helpful conversations during my Ph.D studies. I would like to thank also R. Pardini for her useful comments.

## 2. GENERALIZED FOURIER-MUKAI TRANSFORM

$X$  will be a smooth projective variety over an algebraically closed field  $k$  (from section 3.3 on, we will restrict to  $k = \mathbb{C}$ ). It will be equipped with a morphism  $a : X \rightarrow A$  to a non-trivial abelian variety  $A$ , in particular,  $X$  will be irregular. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a Poincaré line bundle on  $A \times \text{Pic}^0 A$ . We will denote

$$(1) \quad P_a = (a \times \text{id}_{\text{Pic}^0 X})^* \mathcal{P},$$

the *induced Poincaré line bundle* in  $X \times \text{Pic}^0 A$ . When  $a = \text{alb}$ , the Albanese map of  $X$ , then the map  $\text{alb}^*$  identifies  $\text{Pic}^0(\text{Alb } X)$  to  $\text{Pic}^0 X$  and the line bundle  $P_{\text{alb}}$  will be simply denoted by  $P$ .

Letting  $p$  and  $q$  the two projections of  $X \times \text{Pic}^0 A$ , we consider the left exact functor  $\Phi_{P_a} \mathcal{F} = q_*(p^* \mathcal{F} \otimes P_a)$ , and its right derived functors

$$(2) \quad R^i \Phi_{P_a} \mathcal{F} = R^i q_*(p^* \mathcal{F} \otimes P_a).$$

Sometimes we will have to consider the analogous derived functor  $R^i \Phi_{P_a^{-1}} \mathcal{F}$  as well. By the Seesaw Theorem [Mu, Cor. 6, pg. 54],  $\mathcal{P}^{-1} = (1_A \times (-1)_{\text{Pic}^0 A})^* \mathcal{P}$ , so

$$(3) \quad R^i \Phi_{P_a^{-1}} \mathcal{F} = (-1_{\text{Pic}^0 A})^* R^i \Phi_{P_a} \mathcal{F} \quad \text{for any } i.$$

Given a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$ , its *i-th cohomological support locus with respect to a* is

$$V_a^i(\mathcal{F}) = \{ \alpha \in \text{Pic}^0 A \mid h^i(\mathcal{F} \otimes a^* \alpha) > 0 \}$$

Again, when  $a$  is the Albanese map of  $X$ , we will omit the subscript, simply writing  $V^i(\mathcal{F})$ . By base change, these loci contain the set-theoretical support of  $R^i \Phi_{P_a} \mathcal{F}$ , i.e.  $\text{supp } R^i \Phi_{P_a} \mathcal{F} \subseteq V_a^i(\mathcal{F})$ .

A way to measure the size of all the  $V_a^i(\mathcal{F})$ 's is provided by the following invariant introduced by Pareschi–Popa.

**Definition 2.1** ([PP3, Def. 3.1]). Given a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$ , the *generic vanishing index* of  $\mathcal{F}$  (with respect to  $a$ ) is

$$\text{gv}_a(\mathcal{F}) := \min_{i \geq 0} \{ \text{codim}_{\text{Pic}^0 A} V_a^i(\mathcal{F}) - i \}.$$

By convention we define  $\text{gv}_a(\mathcal{F}) = \infty$ , when  $V_a^i(\mathcal{F}) = \emptyset$  for every  $i > 0$ . When  $a$  is the Albanese map of  $X$ , we will omit the subscript, simply writing  $\text{gv}(\mathcal{F})$ .

By base change (see [PP3, Lem. 2.1]) it is easy to see that  $\text{gv}_a(\mathcal{F})$  can be also defined as the  $\min_{i \geq 0} \{ \text{codim}_{\text{Pic}^0 A} \text{supp } R^i \Phi_{P_a} \mathcal{F} - i \}$ .

## 3. GENERIC VANISHING INDEX OF THE CANONICAL SHEAF

**3.1. Relations between  $\text{gv}(\omega_X)$  and the Fourier-Mukai transform of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ .** Here we specialize some general results of Pareschi–Popa [PP3, PP4] to the canonical sheaf of a smooth projective variety of dimension  $d$ . Some of these results were previously obtained by Hacon (see [Ha]).

The negative values of the  $\text{gv}$ -index are related with the vanishing of the lowest cohomologies of the Fourier-Mukai transform of its Grothendieck dual. In the case of  $\omega_X$  this can be stressed simply as:

**Theorem 3.1** ([PP3, Thm. 2.2]). *The following are equivalent,*

- (a)  $\text{gv}_a(\omega_X) \geq -e$  for  $e \geq 0$ ;
- (b)  $R^i \Phi_{P_a} \mathcal{O}_X = 0$  for all  $i \neq d - e, \dots, d$ .

Hence, when  $\mathrm{gv}_a(\omega_X) \geq 0$ ,  $R^i\Phi_{P_a}\mathcal{O}_X = 0$  for all  $i \neq d$ , and we usually denote

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}_X} = R^d\Phi_{P_a}\mathcal{O}_X.$$

Note that, in this case,  $H^i(X, \omega_X \otimes a^*\alpha) = 0$  for all  $i > 0$  and general  $\alpha \in \mathrm{Pic}^0 A$ . Therefore, by deformation-invariance of  $\chi$ , the generic value of  $h^0(X, \omega_X \otimes a^*\alpha)$  equals  $\chi(\omega_X)$ , in particular  $\chi(\omega_X) \geq 0$ . Since, by base-change, the fibre of  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_X}$  at a general point  $\alpha \in \mathrm{Pic}^0 A$  is isomorphic to  $H^d(X, a^*\alpha) \cong H^0(X, \omega_X \otimes a^*\alpha^{-1})^*$ , the (generic) rank of  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_X}$  is  $\mathrm{rk} \widehat{\mathcal{O}_X} = \chi(\omega_X)$ .

From Grothendieck-Verdier duality [Co, Thm. 4.3.1] and Theorem 3.1 it follows that,

**Corollary 3.2** ([PP4, Rem. 3.13]). *If  $\mathrm{gv}_a(\omega_X) \geq 0$  then  $\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Pic}^0 A}}^i((-1_{\mathrm{Pic}^0 A})^*\widehat{\mathcal{O}_X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Pic}^0 A}) \cong R^i\Phi_{P_a}\omega_X$ .*

The following result of Pareschi–Popa gives a dictionary between the positive values of  $\mathrm{gv}_a(\omega_X)$  and the local properties of the Fourier-Mukai transform of  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_X}$ .

**Theorem 3.3** ([PP3, Cor. 3.2]). *Assume that  $\mathrm{gv}_a(\omega_X) \geq 0$ . Then,*

$$(4) \quad \mathrm{gv}_a(\omega_X) \geq m \text{ if, and only if, } \widehat{\mathcal{O}_X} \text{ is a } m\text{-syzygy sheaf.}$$

*In particular,  $\mathrm{gv}_a(\omega_X) \geq 1$  is equivalent to  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_X}$  being torsion-free and  $\mathrm{gv}_a(\omega_X) \geq 2$  to  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_X}$  being reflexive.*

Using the Evans–Griffith Syzygy Theorem and the previous theorem, Pareschi–Popa obtain the following bound on the Euler holomorphic characteristic that generalizes to higher dimensions the Castelnuovo-de Franchis inequality.

**Theorem 3.4** ([PP3, Thm. 3.3]). *Assume that  $\mathrm{gv}_a(\omega_X) \geq 0$ . Then,  $\chi(\omega_X) \geq \mathrm{gv}_a(\omega_X)$ .*

**Remark 3.5.** *In fact, the theorem of Pareschi–Popa is more general, namely that for any coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  if  $\infty > \mathrm{gv}_a(\mathcal{F}) \geq 0$ , then  $\chi(\mathcal{F}) \geq \mathrm{gv}_a(\mathcal{F})$ . As a consequence, we easily obtain that for any non-zero coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mathrm{gv}_a(\mathcal{F}) \geq 1 \Rightarrow \chi(\mathcal{F}) \geq 1$ . Observe also that if  $a$  is non-trivial, we always have  $\mathrm{gv}_a(\omega_X) < \infty$ .*

**3.2. Top Fourier-Mukai transform of the canonical sheaf.** In the case of abelian varieties (or complex torus) the following result is well-known and crucial in the proof of the Mukai Equivalence Theorem [M, Thm 2.2]. We will need it in the proof of Theorem 5.1.

**Proposition 3.6** ([BLNP, Prop. 6.1]). *If  $a^* : \mathrm{Pic}^0 A \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}^0 X$  is an embedding, then*

$$R^d\Phi_{P_a}\omega_X \cong k(\hat{0}).$$

**3.3. Generic vanishing theorem of Green–Lazarsfeld.** The name of the gv-index comes from the well-known Generic Vanishing Theorem of Green–Lazarsfeld. As other general vanishing theorems, it requires  $\mathrm{char} k = 0$  so from now on we will restrict ourselves to the case  $k = \mathbb{C}$ . Basically, the following theorem is [GL1, Thm. 1]. The converse implication was proven independently in [LP, Thm. B] and [BLNP, Prop. 2.7].

**Theorem 3.7.** *For any  $e > 0$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (a) *the generic fibre of  $a : X \rightarrow A$  has dimension  $e$ ,*
- (b)  *$\mathrm{gv}_a(\omega_X) = -e$ .*

*Moreover  $\mathrm{gv}_a(\omega_X) \geq 0$  if, and only if,  $a : X \rightarrow A$  is generically finite onto its image.*

In particular, observe that for any irregular variety  $1 - \dim X \leq \mathrm{gv}(\omega_X) \leq q(X) - \dim X$ .

**Remark 3.8.** *If  $\mathrm{gv}_a(\omega_X) \geq 0$  and  $\chi(\omega_X) > 0$ , then  $X$  is a variety of general type. Indeed, by the previous result  $a : X \rightarrow A$  is generically finite and since  $\chi(\omega_X) > 0$ , we have that  $V_a^0(\omega_X) = \mathrm{Pic}^0 A$ , so by [CH1, Cor.2.4],  $\kappa(X) = \dim X$ . In particular, if  $\mathrm{gv}_a(\omega_X) \geq 1$ , then  $X$  is of general type.*

**3.4. Subtorus theorem of Green–Lazarsfeld and Simpson.** The following theorem is due to Green and Lazarsfeld [GL2, Thm 0.1] with an important addition due to Simpson [S, §4,6,7].

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let  $W$  an irreducible component of  $V^i(\omega_X)$  for some  $i$ . Then,*

- (a) *There exists a torsion point  $\beta \in \text{Pic}^0 X$  and a subtorus  $B$  of  $\text{Pic}^0 X$  such that  $W = \beta + B$ .*
- (b) *There exists a normal variety  $Y$  of dimension  $\leq d - i$ , such that any smooth model of  $Y$  has maximal Albanese dimension and a morphism with connected fibres  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $B$  is contained in  $f^* \text{Pic}^0 Y$ .*

**Remark 3.10.** *It is useful to recall that the morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  in the second part of the previous theorem, arises as the Stein factorization of the morphism  $\pi \circ \text{alb}: X \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0 W$ , where  $\pi: \text{Alb } X \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0 W$  is the dual map of the inclusion  $W \subseteq \text{Pic}^0 X$ . Hence, the key point of the second part of the theorem is the dimensional bound for  $Y$ .*

#### 4. BIRATIONALITY CRITERION FOR MAXIMAL ALBANESE DIMENSION VARIETIES

In this section, we will assume that  $a: X \rightarrow A$  is a generically finite morphism onto its image, where  $A$  is an abelian variety. We introduce another piece of notation.

**Notation 4.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a subsheaf of a line bundle and suppose that  $\text{gv}_a(\mathcal{F}) \geq 1$ .*

- (a) *We denote  $U_{\mathcal{F}}$ , the open subset where  $h^0(\mathcal{F} \otimes a^* \alpha)$  has the minimal value, i.e.  $\chi(\mathcal{F})$ .*
- (b) *Let  $Z$  be the exceptional locus of  $a: X \rightarrow A$ , that is  $Z = a^{-1}(T)$ , where  $T$  is the locus of points in  $A$  over which the fibre of  $a$  has positive dimension.*
- (c) *We define*

$$\mathcal{B}_a^{\mathcal{F}}(x) = \{\alpha \in U_{\mathcal{F}} \mid x \text{ is a base point of } |\mathcal{F} \otimes a^* \alpha|\}.$$

*By Remark 3.5,  $\chi(\mathcal{F}) \geq 1$ . So, by semicontinuity, it makes sense to speak of the base locus of  $\mathcal{F} \otimes a^* \alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \text{Pic}^0 A$ .*

The following lemma is a slight modification of [BLNP, Thm. 4.13] and it is based on [PP1, Prop. 2.12 and 2.13].

**Lemma 4.2.** *Suppose that  $a: X \rightarrow A$  is a generically finite morphism onto its image and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a subsheaf of a line bundle such that  $\text{gv}_a(\mathcal{F}) \geq 1$  and  $R^i a_* \mathcal{F} = 0$  for all  $i > 0$ . Suppose that for a general  $x \in X$ ,*

$$\text{codim}_{U_{\mathcal{F}}} \mathcal{B}_a^{\mathcal{F}}(x) \geq 2.$$

*Then, the rational map associated to the linear system  $|\mathcal{F} \otimes L|$  is birational for every line bundle  $L$  such that  $\text{gv}_a(L) \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* We first compare the Fourier-Mukai transform of  $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{I}_x$  and  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Claim.** Let  $x \in X$  be a closed point out of  $Z$ . Then  $R^i a_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{I}_x \otimes a^* \alpha) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ . This follows immediately from the exact sequence

$$(5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{I}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow k(x) \rightarrow 0$$

and the hypothesis that  $R^i a_* \mathcal{F} = 0$ ,  $a$  is generically finite and  $x \notin Z$ . Hence, the degeneration of the Leray spectral sequence yields to

$$(6) \quad V_a^i(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{I}_x) = V_a^i(a_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{I}_x)).$$

By sequence (5), tensored by  $a^* \alpha$ , it follows that

$$(7) \quad V_a^i(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{I}_x) = V_a^i(\mathcal{F}) \quad \text{for all } i \geq 2.$$

For  $i = 1$  we have the surjection  $H^1(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{I}_x \otimes a^* \alpha) \twoheadrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{F} \otimes a^* \alpha)$ , that is an isomorphism if, and only if,  $x$  is not a base point of  $|\mathcal{F} \otimes a^* \alpha|$ . In other words  $V_a^1(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{I}_x) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_a^{\mathcal{F}}(x) \cup V_a^1(\mathcal{F})$ . Since  $\text{gv}_a(\mathcal{F}) \geq 1$ , the hypothesis on  $\mathcal{B}_a^{\mathcal{F}}(x)$  guarantees that

$$(8) \quad \text{codim } V_a^1(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{I}_x) \geq 2,$$

for a general  $x \in X \setminus Z$ . Hence by (6), (7) and (8),  $\text{gv}(a_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{I}_x)) \geq 1$ . By [PP1, Prop. 2.13],  $a_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{I}_x)$  is continuously globally generated (CGG, see [PP1]). Therefore  $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{I}_x$  itself is CGG outside  $Z$  (with respect to  $a$ ). Since the same is true for  $L$ , it follows from [PP1, Prop 2.12] that for all  $\alpha \in \text{Pic}^0 A$ ,  $\mathcal{F} \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{I}_x$  is globally generated outside  $Z$ . So the rational map associated to  $|\mathcal{F} \otimes L|$  is birational.  $\square$

**Remark 4.3.** From the proof we see that if  $\text{codim}_{U_{\mathcal{F}}} \mathcal{B}_a^{\mathcal{F}}(x) \geq 2$  for every  $x \in X \setminus Z$ , then  $\mathcal{F} \otimes L$  is very ample out of  $Z$ , the exceptional locus of  $a$ .

**4.1. Adjoint line bundles.** When  $\mathcal{F} = \omega_X$  we will call  $U_{\mathcal{F}}$  simply  $U_0$  and  $\mathcal{B}_a^{\omega_X}(x)$  simply by

$$(9) \quad \mathcal{B}_a(x) = \{\alpha \in U_0 \mid x \text{ is a base point of } \omega_X \otimes a^*\alpha\}.$$

Throughout subsections §4.1 and §4.2, we will assume that  $\text{gv}_a(\omega_X) \geq 1$ .

**Proposition-Definition 4.4.** Let  $X$  be a variety such that  $\text{gv}_a(\omega_X) \geq 1$  and let  $L$  be any line bundle on  $X$  such that  $\text{gv}_a(L) \geq 1$ . Suppose that there exists  $\alpha \in \text{Pic}^0 A$  such that  $\omega_X \otimes L \otimes a^*\alpha$  is not birational. Then,

$$\text{codim}_{X \times U_0} \{(x, \alpha) \in X \times U_0 \mid x \text{ is a base point of } \omega_X \otimes a^*\alpha\} = 1,$$

and its divisorial part is dominant on  $X$  and surjects on  $U_0$  via the projections  $p$  and  $q$ . We endow this set with the natural subscheme structure given by the image of the relative evaluation map  $q^*(q_*\mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times U_0}$ , where  $\mathcal{L} = (p^*\omega_X) \otimes P_a|_{X \times U_0}$  and we call  $\mathcal{Y}$  the union of its divisorial components that dominate  $U_0$ . Let  $\overline{\mathcal{Y}}$  be its closure in  $X \times \text{Pic}^0 X$ . Then

- (a)  $X$  is covered by the scheme-theoretic fibres of the projection  $\overline{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow U_0$ , that we will call  $F_\alpha$ , for  $\alpha$  varying in  $U_0$ . By definition, at a general point  $\alpha \in U_0$ ,  $F_\alpha$  is the fixed divisor of  $\omega_X \otimes a^*\alpha$ .
- (b) For a general  $x \in X$ , the fibre of the projection  $\overline{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow X$  is a divisor, that we will call  $\mathcal{D}_x$ . By definition,  $\mathcal{D}_x$  is the closure of the union of the divisorial components of the locus of  $\alpha \in U_0$  such that  $x \in \text{Bs}(\omega_X \otimes a^*\alpha)$ .

*Proof.* Everything follows from taking  $\mathcal{F} = \omega_X$  in Lemma 4.2. The surjectivity of the projection to  $U_0$  is consequence of the Castelnuovo-de Franchis inequality 3.4, i.e.  $\chi(\omega_X) \geq \text{gv}_a(\omega_X) \geq 1$ .  $\square$

**4.2. Decomposition.** In the sequel we will need  $a^* : \text{Pic}^0 A \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0 X$  to be an embedding. However, for simplicity we will go one step further and we will simply suppose that  $A = \text{Alb } X$ . Suppose that we are under the hypotheses of the previous Proposition-Definition and consider a fixed point  $\alpha_0 \in U_0$ , and the map

$$(10) \quad f_{\alpha_0} : U_0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0 X \quad \alpha \mapsto \mathcal{O}_X(F_\alpha - F_{\alpha_0}),$$

where  $F_\alpha$  is the divisor defined in Proposition-Definition 4.4(a). For  $\alpha \in U_0$ , all the  $F_\alpha$  are algebraically equivalent since they are the fibres of  $\overline{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow U_0$ , so the map is well-defined.

The following lemma shows that this map induces a decomposition of  $\text{Pic}^0 X$  and that the divisors  $F_\alpha$  move algebraically along a non-trivial factor of  $\text{Pic}^0 X$ . Although the proof is basically the same as [BLNP, Lem. 5.1], we do not require  $V^1(\omega_X)$  to be a finite set, but only a proper subvariety.

**Lemma 4.5.** The map defined in (10), induces an homomorphism  $f : \text{Pic}^0 X \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0 X$  such that,

- (a)  $f^2 = f$  and  $\text{Pic}^0 X$  decomposes as  $\text{Pic}^0 X \cong \ker f \times \ker(\text{id} - f)$ . Moreover  $\dim \ker(\text{id} - f) > 0$ .
- (b) Fix  $\bar{\beta} \in \ker f$  such that  $U_0 \cap (\{\bar{\beta}\} \times \ker(\text{id} - f))$  is non-empty. Then, for  $\gamma \in U_0 \cap \ker(\text{id} - f)$  the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_X(F_{\bar{\beta} \otimes \gamma}) \otimes \gamma^{-1}$  does not depend on  $\gamma$ . Since it is effective by semicontinuity, we call it  $\mathcal{O}_X(F)$ .
- (c) For all  $(\beta, \gamma) \in \ker f \times \ker(\text{id} - f) \cong \text{Pic}^0 X$  such that  $\beta \otimes \gamma \in U_0$ ,  $|\mathcal{O}_X(F) \otimes \gamma|$  is contained in the fixed divisor of  $\omega_X \otimes \beta \otimes \gamma$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{O}_X(M_\alpha) = \omega_X \otimes a^*\alpha \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-F_\alpha)$ . Then, the proof of (a) is the same as [BLNP, Lem. 5.1](a). Item (b) follows directly from the definition of  $f$ . To prove (c), let  $(\beta, \gamma) \in \ker f \times \ker(\text{id} - f)$

such that  $\beta \otimes \gamma \in U_0$  and  $E \in |\mathcal{O}_X(F) \otimes \gamma|$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_X(F_{\beta \otimes \gamma} - E) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(F_{\beta \otimes \gamma} - F_{\bar{\beta} \otimes \gamma}) = f(\beta \otimes \bar{\beta}^{-1}) = \mathcal{O}_X$ . Since  $F_{\beta \otimes \gamma}$  is a fixed divisor of  $|\omega_X \otimes \beta \otimes \gamma|$ , also  $E = F_{\bar{\beta} \otimes \gamma}$  is a fixed divisor in  $|\omega_X \otimes \beta \otimes \gamma|$ .  $\square$

Using the decomposition given by the previous Lemma we give an explicit description of the “half” Poincaré line bundle.

**Lemma 4.6** ([BLNP, Lem. 5.1, 5.3]). *We call  $B = \text{Pic}^0(\ker f)$  and  $C = \text{Pic}^0(\ker(\text{id} - f))$  so that*

$$\text{Alb } X \cong B \times C \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Pic}^0 X \cong \text{Pic}^0 B \times \text{Pic}^0 C,$$

*with  $\dim C > 0$ . Then we have the following description of “half” Poincaré line bundle.*

$$(\text{alb} \times \text{id}_{\text{Pic}^0 X})^*(\mathcal{O}_{B \times \text{Pic}^0 B} \boxtimes \mathcal{P}_C) \cong \mathcal{O}_{X \times \text{Pic}^0 X}(\bar{\mathcal{Y}}) \otimes p^* \mathcal{O}_X(-F) \otimes q^* \mathcal{O}_{\text{Pic}^0 X}(-\mathcal{D}_{\bar{x}}),$$

*where  $\bar{x}$  is such that  $\text{alb}(\bar{x}) = 0$  in  $\text{Alb } X$  and  $\mathcal{P}_C$  is the Poincaré line bundle in  $C \times \text{Pic}^0 C$ .*

*Proof.* The decomposition of  $\text{Pic}^0 X$  comes directly from Lemma 4.5(a). By the definition of  $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}$  (see Proposition-Definition 4.4) and the definition of  $F$  (see Lemma 4.5(b)) we have that the line bundle

$$\mathcal{O}_{X \times \text{Pic}^0 X}(\bar{\mathcal{Y}}) \otimes p^* \mathcal{O}_X(-F) \otimes q^* \mathcal{O}_{\text{Pic}^0 X}(-\mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}),$$

- restricted to  $X \times \{\beta \otimes \gamma\}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_X(F_{\beta \otimes \gamma} - F) = \gamma$ , for all  $(\beta, \gamma) \in U_0 \subseteq \ker f \times \ker(\text{id} - f)$ ;
- restricted to  $\{\bar{x}\} \times \text{Pic}^0 X$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Pic}^0 X}(\mathcal{D}_{\bar{x}}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{Pic}^0 X}(-\mathcal{D}_{\bar{x}})$ , i.e. trivial.

On the other hand,  $(\text{alb} \times \text{id}_{\text{Pic}^0 X})^*(\mathcal{O}_{B \times \text{Pic}^0 B} \boxtimes \mathcal{P}_C)$ ,

- restricted to  $X \times \{\beta \otimes \gamma\}$  is isomorphic to  $\gamma$ , for all  $(\beta, \gamma) \in \ker f \times \ker(\text{id} - f)$ ;
- restricted to  $\{\bar{x}\} \times \text{Pic}^0 X$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Pic}^0 X}$ , i.e. trivial.

Then, the Lemma follows from the see-saw principle.  $\square$

## 5. THE BICANONICAL MAP OF IRREGULAR VARIETIES

The next theorem gives a sufficient numerical condition for the birationality of the bicanonical map, analogous to Pareschi–Popa Theorem [PP2, Thm. 6.1] for the tricanonical map.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective complex variety such that  $\text{gv}(\omega_X) \geq 2$ . Then, the rational map associated to  $\omega_X^2 \otimes \alpha$  is birational onto its image for every  $\alpha \in \text{Pic}^0 X$ .*

As a first corollary we have the following geometric interpretation.

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective complex variety of maximal Albanese dimension such that the bicanonical map is not birational. Then  $0 \leq \text{gv}(\omega_X) \leq 1$ . Moreover, it admits a fibration onto a normal projective variety  $Y$  with  $0 \leq \dim Y < \dim X$ , any smooth model  $\tilde{Y}$  of  $Y$  is of maximal Albanese dimension and*

$$q(X) - \dim X \leq q(\tilde{Y}) - \dim Y + \text{gv}(\omega_X).$$

*Proof.* By Theorems 3.7 and 5.1, it is clear that  $0 \leq \text{gv}(\omega_X) \leq 1$ . Now, the proof is the same as the proof of [PP3, Thm. B].  $\square$

**Example 5.3.** *We would like to show examples of varieties with  $\text{gv}(\omega_X) \geq 2$ . For curves  $C$ , this is equivalent to  $g(C) \geq 3$ . For surfaces  $S$ , is equivalent to suppose that  $q(S) \geq 4$  and  $S$  does not admit an irregular fibration to a curve of genus  $\leq q(S) - 3$  (see [Be, Cor. 2.3]).*

*On the other hand, if  $A$  is a simple abelian variety, then every subvariety  $X$  of codimension  $\geq 2$  has  $\text{gv}(\omega_X) \geq 2$ . Moreover, the property of having  $\text{gv}(\omega_X) \geq 2$  is closed under taking products and cyclic coverings induced by a torsion point  $\alpha \in \text{Pic}^0 X - V^1(\omega_X)$ .*

The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem 5.1.

*Proof.* Assume that  $\text{gv}(\omega_X) \geq 1$  and there exists  $\alpha \in \text{Pic}^0 X$  such that  $\omega_X^{\otimes 2} \otimes \alpha$  is non-birational. Then, we want to see that  $\text{gv}(\omega_X) = 1$ . Under these hypotheses we can apply Proposition-Definition 4.4 and Lemma 4.6, so  $\text{Alb } X \cong B \times C$ , where  $B = \text{Pic}^0(\ker(\text{id} - f))$  and  $C = \text{Pic}^0(\ker f)$ . We have the following commutative diagram

$$(11) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Pic}^0 X & \xleftarrow{q} & X \times \text{Pic}^0 X & \xrightarrow{\text{alb} \times \text{id}} & \text{Alb } X \times \text{Pic}^0 X \\ p_b \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \times p_b & & \downarrow p_b \times p_b \\ \text{Pic}^0 B & \xleftarrow{q} & X \times \text{Pic}^0 B & \xrightarrow{b \times \text{id}} & B \times \text{Pic}^0 B \end{array}$$

where

- $p_b : \text{Alb } X \rightarrow B$  and  $p_b : \text{Pic}^0 X \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0 B$  are the corresponding projections,
- $b$  is the composition by  $b : X \xrightarrow{\text{alb}} \text{Alb } X \xrightarrow{p_b} B$ , and
- abusing notation we also call  $q$  either the projection  $X \times \text{Pic}^0 X \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0 X$  or  $X \times \text{Pic}^0 B \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0 B$  and  $p$  the projections  $X \times \text{Pic}^0 X \rightarrow X$  or  $X \times \text{Pic}^0 B \rightarrow X$ .

The effectiveness of  $\overline{\mathcal{Y}}$  give us the following short exact sequence on  $X \times \text{Pic}^0 X$

$$0 \rightarrow (\text{alb} \times \text{id})^*(\mathcal{O}_{B \times \text{Pic}^0 B} \boxtimes \mathcal{P}_C)^{-1} \xrightarrow{\overline{\mathcal{Y}}} p^* \mathcal{O}_X(F) \otimes q^* \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D}_{\bar{x}}) \rightarrow (p^* \mathcal{O}_X(F) \otimes q^* \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D}_{\bar{x}}))|_{\overline{\mathcal{Y}}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Recall that  $P = (\text{alb} \times \text{id}_{\text{Pic}^0 X})^*(\mathcal{P}_B \boxtimes \mathcal{P}_C)$  since the Poincaré line bundle  $\mathcal{P}$  in  $\text{Alb } X \times \text{Pic}^0 X$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{P}_B \boxtimes \mathcal{P}_C$ . We apply the functor  $R^d q_*(\cdot \otimes (\text{alb} \times \text{id}_{\text{Pic}^0 X})^*(\mathcal{P}_B^{-1} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{C \times \text{Pic}^0 C}))$ , that is, we tensor by the other “half” Poincaré line bundle and we consider the top direct image. We get

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow R^d \Phi_{P^{-1}}(\mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow R^d q_*(p^* \mathcal{O}_X(F) \otimes (\text{alb} \times \text{id}_{\text{Pic}^0 X})^*(\mathcal{P}_B^{-1} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{C \times \text{Pic}^0 C})) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{Pic}^0 X}(\mathcal{D}_{\bar{x}}) \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow R^d q_*((p^* \mathcal{O}_X(F) \otimes q^* \mathcal{O}_{\text{Pic}^0 X}(\mathcal{D}_{\bar{x}}))|_{\overline{\mathcal{Y}}} \otimes (\text{alb} \times \text{id}_{\text{Pic}^0 X})^*(\mathcal{P}_B^{-1} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{C \times \text{Pic}^0 C})) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Using that  $R^i \Phi_{P^{-1}} \cong (-1)_{\text{Pic}^0 X}^* R^i \Phi_P$  (see (3)), we have the following short exact sequence,

$$(12) \quad 0 \rightarrow (-1)_{\text{Pic}^0 X}^* \widehat{\mathcal{O}_X} \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{D}_{\bar{x}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \rightarrow 0$$

where:

- (a) By base change,  $\mathcal{E} = R^d q_*(p^* \mathcal{O}_X(F) \otimes (\text{alb} \times \text{id}_{\text{Pic}^0 X})^*(\mathcal{P}_B^{-1} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{C \times \text{Pic}^0 C}))$  is a coherent sheaf of rank  $h^d(\mathcal{O}_X(F) \otimes \beta^{-1})$  by a general  $\beta \in \ker f$ , i.e.  $h^0(\omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-F) \otimes \beta) = \chi(\omega_X)$  by Lemma 4.5(c). Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E} &= R^d q_*(p^* \mathcal{O}_X(F) \otimes (\text{alb} \times \text{id})^*(\mathcal{P}_B^{-1} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{C \times \text{Pic}^0 C})) \\ &= R^d q_*(p^* \mathcal{O}_X(F) \otimes (\text{id} \times p_b)^*(b \times \text{id})^* \mathcal{P}_B^{-1}) && \text{right square of (11)} \\ &= R^d q_*(\text{id} \times p_b)^*(p^* \mathcal{O}_X(F) \otimes (b \times \text{id})^* \mathcal{P}_B^{-1}) && \text{abuse of notation on } p \\ &= p_b^* R^d q_*(p^* \mathcal{O}_X(F) \otimes (b \times \text{id})^* \mathcal{P}_B^{-1}) && \text{flat base change} \\ &= p_b^* R^d \Phi_{P^{-1}}(\mathcal{O}_X(F)), \end{aligned}$$

following the notation of (1) and (2).

- (b)  $\mathcal{T} = R^d q_*((p^* \mathcal{O}_X(F) \otimes q^* \mathcal{O}_{\text{Pic}^0 X}(\mathcal{D}_{\bar{x}}))|_{\overline{\mathcal{Y}}} \otimes (\text{alb} \times \text{id}_{\text{Pic}^0 X})^*(\mathcal{P}_B^{-1} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{C \times \text{Pic}^0 C}))$  is supported at the locus of the  $\alpha \in \text{Pic}^0 X$  such that the fibre of the projection  $q : \overline{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0 X$  has dimension  $d$ , i.e. it coincides with  $X$ . Such locus is contained in  $V^1(\omega_X)$ , therefore, since  $\text{gv}(\omega_X) \geq 1$ ,  $\text{codim supp } \mathcal{T} \geq 2$ .
- (c) The map  $\mu$  is injective since it is a generically surjective map of sheaves of the same rank (recall that  $\text{rk } \widehat{\mathcal{O}_X} = \chi(\omega_X)$ ), and, as  $\text{gv}(\omega_X) \geq 1$ , the source  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_X}$  is torsion-free (Thm. 3.3).
- (d)  $\mu$  is  $R^d q_*(m_s)$ , where  $m_s$  is the multiplication by the section defining  $\overline{\mathcal{Y}}$ . By base change [Mu, Cor. 3, pg. 53],  $R^d q_*(m_s) \otimes \mathbb{C}(\alpha) = H^d(m_s|_{q^{-1}\{\alpha\}})$  where  $q$  is the projection  $q : \overline{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0 X$ . When  $q^{-1}\{\alpha\} = X$ ,  $m_s|_{q^{-1}\{\alpha\}} = 0$ , so in these points  $R^d q_*(m_s) \otimes \mathbb{C}(\alpha) = 0$ .



**Claim 5.4.**  $\mathcal{T} \neq 0$ .

*Proof of the Claim.* Suppose that  $\mathcal{T} = 0$ , so  $\mu$  is an isomorphism. Taking  $\mathcal{E}xt^d(\cdot, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Pic}^0 X})$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} k(\hat{0}) &= R^d \Phi_P \omega_X && \text{Prop. 3.6} \\ &= \mathcal{E}xt^d(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Pic}^0 X}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-\mathcal{D}_{\bar{x}}) && \mathcal{E}xt^d(\mu, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Pic}^0 X}) \text{ and Cor. 3.2} \\ &= p_b^* \mathcal{E}xt^d(R^d \Phi_{P_b}(\mathcal{O}_X(F)), \mathcal{O}_{\text{Pic}^0 B}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-\mathcal{D}_{\bar{x}}) && \text{see item (a),} \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\text{codim}_{\text{Alb } X} B = \dim \ker(\text{id} - f) = 0$  contradicting Lemma 4.6.  $\square$

Let  $\tau(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{D}_{\bar{x}}))$  be the torsion part of  $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{D}_{\bar{x}})$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{D}_{\bar{x}})}$  the quotient of  $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{D}_{\bar{x}})$  by its torsion part. Hence  $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{D}_{\bar{x}})}$  is torsion-free. Now consider the following composition

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (-1)_{\text{Pic}^0 X}^* \widehat{\mathcal{O}_X} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{D}_{\bar{x}}) \\ & \searrow \tilde{\mu} & \downarrow \\ & & \widetilde{\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{D}_{\bar{x}})}. \end{array}$$

Since  $\tilde{\mu}$  is generically surjective and  $(-1)_{\text{Pic}^0 X}^* \widehat{\mathcal{O}_X}$  is torsion-free (recall that  $\text{gv}(\omega_X) \geq 1$ ), we have that  $\tilde{\mu}$  is injective. Completing the diagram we get,

(13)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \tau(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{D}_{\bar{x}})) & = & \tau(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{D}_{\bar{x}})) & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (-1)_{\text{Pic}^0 X}^* \widehat{\mathcal{O}_X} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{D}_{\bar{x}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (-1)_{\text{Pic}^0 X}^* \widehat{\mathcal{O}_X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu}} & \widetilde{\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{D}_{\bar{x}})} & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\mathcal{T}} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

If  $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}} = 0$ , then the middle horizontal short exact sequence splits. But, for  $\alpha$  a closed point in the support of  $\mathcal{T}$  (by the previous claim we know that  $\mathcal{T} \neq 0$ ),  $\mu \otimes \mathbb{C}(\alpha) = 0$  by item (d), so  $\mu$  cannot split. Therefore  $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}} \neq 0$ .

Let  $e = \text{codim}_{\text{Pic}^0 X} \text{supp } \widetilde{\mathcal{T}} \geq 2$  (see item (c)). Then  $\text{codim}_{\text{Pic}^0 X} \text{supp } \mathcal{E}xt^e(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Pic}^0 X}) = e$ . Now, we apply the functor  $\mathcal{E}xt^t(\cdot, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Pic}^0 X})$  to the bottom row of (13) using Corollary 3.2

$$\dots \rightarrow R^{e-1} \Phi_P \omega_X \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt^e(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Pic}^0 X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt^e(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{D}_{\bar{x}})}, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Pic}^0 X}) \rightarrow \dots$$

Since  $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{D}_{\bar{x}})}$  is torsion-free,  $\text{codim}_{\text{Pic}^0 X} \text{supp } \mathcal{E}xt^e(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{D}_{\bar{x}})}, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Pic}^0 X}) > e$ . Therefore, we must have  $\text{codim}_{\text{Pic}^0 X} \text{supp } R^{e-1} \Phi_P \omega_X = e$  and  $\text{gv}(\omega_X) \leq 1$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [BLNP] M.A. Barja, M. Lahoz, J.C. Naranjo and G. Pareschi, *On the bicanonical map of irregular varieties*, preprint arXiv:0907.4363. To appear in *J. Algebraic Geom.*
- [BCP] I. C. Bauer, F. Catanese, and R. Pignatelli. Complex surfaces of general type: some recent progress. In *Global aspects of complex geometry*, pages 1–58. Springer, Berlin, 2006.
- [Be] A. Beauville. Annulation du  $H^1$  pour les fibrés en droites plats. In *Complex algebraic varieties (Proc. Bayreuth 1990)*, pages 1–15; Springer, Berlin, 1992.
- [Bo] E. Bombieri. Canonical models of surfaces of general type. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (42):171–219, 1973.
- [CH1] J. A. Chen and C. D. Hacon. Pluricanonical maps of varieties of maximal Albanese dimension. *Math. Ann.*, 320(2):367–380, 2001.

- [CH2] ———. Linear series of irregular varieties. In *Algebraic geometry in East Asia (Kyoto, 2001)*, pages 143–153. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2002.
- [CM] C. Ciliberto and M. Mendes Lopes. On surfaces with  $p_g = q = 2$  and non-birational bicanonical maps. *Adv. Geom.*, 2(3):281–300, 2002.
- [Co] B. Conrad. *Grothendieck duality and base change*, volume 1750 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [GL1] M. Green and R. Lazarsfeld. Deformation theory, generic vanishing theorems, and some conjectures of Enriques, Catanese and Beauville. *Invent. Math.*, 90(2):389–407, 1987.
- [GL2] ———. Higher obstructions to deforming cohomology groups of line bundles. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 4(1):87–103, 1991.
- [Ha] C. D. Hacon. A derived category approach to generic vanishing. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 575:173–187, 2004.
- [La] R. Lazarsfeld. *Positivity in algebraic geometry I & II*, volume 48 & 49 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. Positivity for vector bundles, and multiplier ideals.
- [LP] R. Lazarsfeld and M. Popa. *Derivative complex, BGG correspondence, and numerical inequalities for compact Kaehler manifolds*. *Invent. Math.*, 182 no.3 (2010), 605–633.
- [M] S. Mukai. Duality between  $D(X)$  and  $D(\hat{X})$  with its application to Picard sheaves. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 81:153–175, 1981.
- [Mu] D. Mumford. *Abelian varieties*, volume 5 of *Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics*. Published for the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 2008. With appendices by C. P. Ramanujam and Yuri Manin, Corrected reprint of the second (1974) edition.
- [PP1] G. Pareschi and M. Popa. Regularity on abelian varieties I. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16(2):285–302, 2003.
- [PP2] ———. Regularity on abelian varieties III: relationship with generic vanishing and applications. *Clay Math. Proc.*, 2006.
- [PP3] ———. Strong generic vanishing and a higher-dimensional Castelnuovo-de Franchis inequality. *Duke Math. J.*, 150(2):269–285, 2009.
- [PP4] ———. *GV-sheaves, Fourier-Mukai transform, and Generic Vanishing*, preprint arXiv:math/0608127. To appear in *Amer. J. Math.*
- [S] C. Simpson. Subspaces of moduli spaces of rank one local systems. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 26(3):361–401, 1993.

DEPARTAMENT D'ÀLGEBRA I GEOMETRIA, FACULTAT DE MATEMÀTIQUES, UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA. GRAN VIA, 585, 08007 BARCELONA. SPAIN

*E-mail address:* `marti.lahoz@ub.edu`

*Current address:* Mathematisches Institut, Universität Bonn, Endenicher Allee 60, 53115 Bonn, Germany.